International Journal of Intellectual Discourse (IJID)
ISSN: 2636-4832 Volume 8, Issue 2. June, 2025

Reframing population control: A scoping review of natural family planning as an ethical and ecological alternative in biodiversity hotspots

* Yunana Diqson Bishugad [1], Onwuchekwa Kodichimma Favour[2] Yunana Ezekiel Dickson [3] Dasum Mbyakyen Yakubu[4] & Yunana Teilem[5]

[1&4] *Department of Sociology, Sa'adu Zungur University, Bauchi, Nigeria.*
[2] *Department of Sociology and Anthropology, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria.*
[3] *School of Post Basic Nursing, ATBU Teaching Hospital Bauchi, Nigeria.*
[5] *Department of Political Science, Federal University, Kashere, Gombe State, Nigeria.*

*Corresponding Author: diqsonyunana@basug.edu.ng

Abstract

This scoping review investigates the viability of Natural Family Planning (NFP) as an ethical and environmentally sustainable substitute for artificial contraception, especially in biodiversity hotspots. With the acceleration of global population growth, there is heightened concern regarding the pressure on natural resources and the decline of biodiversity. Although artificial contraceptive methods effectively manage population growth, they present considerable ethical and environmental dilemmas, such as reproductive coercion and ecological pollution. In contrast, NFP honors reproductive autonomy, aligns with cultural values, and circumvents the pharmaceutical pollution linked to artificial contraceptives. This review synthesizes existing literature on the effectiveness, feasibility, and cultural acceptance of NFP in areas where biodiversity is most threatened, emphasizing both its potential and the obstacles to its broader implementation. Key findings indicate that while NFP can be as effective as artificial methods when utilized perfectly, typical usage is impeded by insufficient education and cultural obstacles. The review concludes with suggestions for future research, including longitudinal studies to evaluate NFP's long-term effectiveness and feasibility, as well as policy recommendations to incorporate NFP into national family planning strategies. This approach, rooted in community-driven education and cultural sensitivity, offers promise for providing a sustainable and ethical solution to global population and environmental issues.

Keywords: Autonomy, biodiversity hotspots, ethical contraception, environmental sustainability, family planning strategies, natural family planning, population control, reproductive cultural acceptance.

1. Introduction

The rapid growth of the global population, projected to reach 10 billion by 2050 (United Nations, 2022), presents significant challenges to ecological sustainability, particularly in biodiversity hotspots. These regions contain an exceptionally high number of species but face serious threats from human activities, including habitat destruction, unsustainable agricultural practices, and climate change (Cincotta et al., 2021). As a result, many experts recommend implementing population control measures to alleviate the environmental pressures exacerbated by the increasing human population (Crist et al., 2017). While various population control strategies have been proposed, the ethical considerations and environmental consequences of these approaches, especially regarding artificial

contraceptive methods, have ignited substantial debate.

Artificial contraceptives, such as hormonal birth control and sterilization, have been associated with ecological issues, including the pollution of ecosystems by pharmaceuticals (Schwindt et al., 2020). Additionally, these methods have been linked to coercive practices within marginalized communities, which compromise reproductive autonomy (Hartmann, 2016). In this context, Natural Family Planning (NFP) emerges as a viable and ethical alternative. NFP involves tracking natural fertility indicators without the use of pharmaceuticals or invasive procedures, and it has gained traction as a method that aligns with environmental sustainability and cultural values, especially in areas sensitive to biodiversity (Fehring et al., 2019). Despite its potential, NFP remains underutilized in global family planning efforts. This scoping review aims to critically assess the role of NFP in population control within biodiversity hotspots and to explore its viability as a sustainable alternative to artificial contraception.

Research Questions

The review addresses the following research questions:

1. How feasible and effective is Natural Family Planning (NFP) in biodiversity-sensitive regions, and what are its socio-environmental impacts?
2. What are the ethical, environmental, and health concerns related to artificial contraceptives in biodiversity hotspots, and how do these concerns affect biodiversity conservation?
3. What are the key challenges and policy implications for promoting NFP as a sustainable alternative to artificial contraception in ecologically sensitive regions?

Objectives of the study

The primary objective of this scoping review is to evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of NFP as an alternative to artificial contraception in biodiversity hotspots. Specifically, the review aims to:

1. Assess the role of NFP in biodiversity-sensitive regions, focusing on its feasibility, effectiveness, and socio-environmental impacts.
2. Critically analyze the ethical, environmental, and health concerns associated with artificial contraceptives in the context of biodiversity conservation.
3. Identify key challenges and policy implications for promoting NFP as a sustainable framework for population control in ecologically sensitive regions.

2. Methodology

Study design

This scoping review adheres to the PRISMA-ScR (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses Extension for Scoping Reviews) guidelines to guarantee thorough and transparent reporting.

The methodology of the scoping review was chosen for its adaptability in outlining extensive concepts and pinpointing deficiencies in the current literature. It facilitates a comprehensive examination of the scope and characteristics of the existing evidence regarding Natural Family Planning (NFP) and its juxtaposition with artificial contraception in biodiversity hotspots.

2.1 Search strategy

The literature search was designed to be comprehensive and systematic, ensuring the inclusion of studies from multiple disciplines related to family planning, natural family planning (NFP), contraceptive methods, and the relevant social, policy, and environmental factors. The strategy also ensured that all relevant data sources were explored, including academic databases and grey literature repositories. The following outlines the databases searched, the search methodology, and the specific strategies employed.

Databases and Justification

Database	Reason for Selection	Scope of Search
PubMed	Comprehensive public health and medical database	To capture health and medical research on family planning, NFP, contraceptive methods, and related health outcomes. Studies published in peer-reviewed medical journals were prioritized.
Web of Science	Multidisciplinary database with a focus on ecological and environmental sciences	To explore studies on biodiversity, conservation, and ecological impacts of population control methods, with an emphasis on interdisciplinary studies across natural and social sciences.
Scopus	Broad database covering health, environmental, and sociological studies	To ensure a wide reach across studies from different academic disciplines, including health, environmental sciences, and sociology, providing a holistic view of family planning's impacts.
Google Scholar	Open access academic search engine	To ensure a comprehensive review, capturing grey literature, non-peer-reviewed studies, and less accessible research, including theses, reports, and policy documents.
Cochrane Library	Evidence-based healthcare database	To include systematic reviews and evidence on the effectiveness of family planning strategies, particularly focusing on high-quality studies assessing health interventions.
Social Science Research Network (SSRN)	Dedicated social science and policy database	To capture studies from sociology, economics, and policy analysis, especially those addressing the social and policy implications of family planning and population control.
PsycINFO	Social science and psychology database	To capture studies from psychology and behavioral sciences, focusing on attitudes, behaviors, and decision-making processes surrounding family planning and contraception.
ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global	Comprehensive database for academic theses and dissertations	To capture in-depth academic theses and dissertations, which may provide unique insights into family planning policies, social perceptions, and public health strategies.
PolicyFile	Social science and policy-focused database	To explore policy reports and working papers on family planning, reproductive health policies, and social interventions related to contraception and NFP.
Grey Literature Repositories (e.g., OpenGrey,	Repository of unpublished documents and non-peer-reviewed research	To capture government reports, working papers, technical reports, and documents from international organizations (e.g.,

OAIster)

WHO, UNFPA), addressing population control and family planning.

Search methodology

The search methodology followed a systematic and structured approach to ensure that the topic was comprehensively covered from all relevant perspectives. A strategic blend of carefully selected keywords, alongside Boolean operators, was employed to refine and optimise the search process, ensuring that studies directly related to family planning, natural family planning (NFP), contraceptive methods, and associated socio-economic, policy, and environmental factors were captured.

Search terms

A comprehensive list of predefined keywords was devised, tailored to the core research question and objectives of the study. These keywords were categorised to cover the full spectrum of the topic, ensuring a balanced and thorough review of the literature. The search terms included:

Family Planning: Terms such as "family planning", "reproductive health", "contraception", "NFP", "fertility regulation", and "birth control" were included to capture studies directly addressing the different methods and strategies related to controlling fertility and promoting reproductive health.

Socio-economic and policy factors: Keywords like "policy interventions", "economic impact", "health policy", "social determinants", and "population control policies" were utilised to explore the broader socio-economic and policy factors influencing family planning decisions and practices.

Behavioral and psychological factors: The search also included keywords focused on human behaviour, such as "attitudes towards contraception", "behavioural change", "decision-making", and "psychological barriers to family planning". These terms ensured that the psychological and behavioural aspects of family planning were thoroughly considered.

Time frame

To ensure the inclusion of the most relevant and up-to-date research, the search was conducted for studies published from 2000 to the present. However, studies published prior to 2000 were included if they were deemed highly relevant or considered seminal works in the field.

Duplicate removal

A thorough process for duplicate removal was implemented. Identical records were manually flagged and removed, while all search results were cross-checked against other databases to ensure that no repetition occurred. The final set of records was then meticulously screened for relevance to the research question.

Grey literature search plan

To complement the academic databases, a robust grey literature strategy was incorporated to ensure that non-peer-reviewed studies, reports, and documents—often rich with valuable data and policy insights—were not overlooked. This strategy was designed to broaden the scope of the review and provide a more detailed understanding of the subject.

The search was expanded to include grey literature repositories, which provided access to a range of non-peer-reviewed documents such as government reports, technical papers, and policy documents from international organisations. Repositories like OpenGrey and OAIster were searched for relevant documents, offering access to a wealth of material that may not have been published in traditional academic journals. In addition to grey literature repositories, the search included reports and publications from prominent institutions such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the United Nations Population Fund (UNFPA), and national

government agencies. These sources provided essential policy briefs, working papers, and strategic reports that specifically addressed family planning interventions, reproductive health policies, and population control strategies.

Grey literature was assessed with a critical lens, evaluating the source and credibility of each document. Priority was given to reports from reputable government agencies, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), and established academic institutions. Any grey literature lacking peer-review was carefully scrutinised for its methodological rigor. Documents were evaluated based on their relevance, the quality of data presented, and their alignment with the overall research objectives.

2.2 Study selection

The inclusion and exclusion criteria were determined by the relevance of the studies to the research questions. Only peer-reviewed studies published in the last 10 years were included, ensuring the review is based on the most current evidence. The inclusion and exclusion criteria are summarised in the table below:

Inclusion Criteria	Exclusion Criteria
Studies focusing on ethical considerations of population control methods	Studies exclusively on artificial contraceptives without ethical or ecological discussion
Studies discussing the ecological impacts of contraception methods	Studies not addressing biodiversity hotspots
Peer-reviewed studies published in the last 10 years	Articles published before 2013
Studies assessing the effectiveness and cultural acceptance of NFP	Studies focusing on urban or non-biodiversity regions

The selected studies were screened based on their abstracts and titles, and full-text articles of eligible studies were then examined to extract relevant data.

2.3 Data extraction and synthesis

In this scoping review, data were extracted and synthesized from the included studies by organizing the information into key themes that align with both ethical and ecological considerations in the context of population control through Natural Family Planning (NFP). The extraction process was meticulously structured to identify gaps and strengths in the literature and to highlight areas where NFP might serve as a more sustainable and culturally acceptable alternative to artificial contraceptives. The key themes identified were:

Theme	Focus Area
Ethical Concerns	Examining reproductive autonomy, coercion, and bioethical issues related to artificial contraceptives, including historical practices of sterilization and reproductive rights violations (Hartmann, 2016).
Environmental Impact	Investigating the environmental consequences of artificial contraceptives, particularly pharmaceutical pollution in aquatic systems and its effects on wildlife (Schwindt et al., 2020).
Cultural Acceptance	Exploring the cultural dynamics and perceptions of family planning in biodiversity hotspots, focusing on indigenous populations' acceptance of NFP (Fehring et al., 2019).
Policy Implications	Analyzing potential policy frameworks that could promote NFP as a culturally appropriate and sustainable population health strategy, serving as an alternative to artificial contraceptives (Bongaarts, 2020).

The included studies were critically assessed to understand the effectiveness of NFP, barriers to its implementation, cultural perceptions surrounding its use, and the policy frameworks that could facilitate its integration. The data were organized thematically, enabling the identification of patterns, trends, and gaps in the existing literature. The narrative synthesis approach used in this review was designed to map the available evidence systematically, ensuring that the overarching themes provided a comprehensive understanding of the topic.

2.4 Data analysis

The synthesis of data across the selected studies was organized thematically to provide a thorough overview of key findings and research gaps. This structured approach was designed to ensure clarity and consistency across the analysis. The process involved the following steps:

Step	Action
Study Identification	Initial search results were reviewed to exclude studies that did not meet the inclusion criteria, ensuring the relevance of studies to the review's focus.
Data Extraction	Key information, such as author names, publication years, research objectives, methodologies, and findings, was systematically extracted from each included study.
Thematic Synthesis	Studies were grouped by thematic categories, including ethical concerns, environmental impact, etc., ensuring a coherent synthesis.
Gaps Identification	Literature gaps were identified, particularly regarding NFP's effectiveness in biodiversity hotspots, its environmental advantages, and its cultural acceptance among indigenous populations.

A clear, thematic presentation of the extracted data helped identify specific trends, such as the preference for NFP in certain cultural contexts and its potential to address environmental concerns associated with artificial contraceptives.

2.5 Reporting

The findings from this review were reported following the PRISMA-ScR checklist, ensuring transparency, reproducibility, and rigor in the reporting process. This included clear identification of key themes, synthesis of findings, and a comprehensive discussion of areas where further research is needed. The review specifically highlights gaps in understanding regarding the cultural and ecological advantages of NFP, as well as the policy frameworks that could support its adoption as a viable alternative to artificial contraceptives. Key research questions were raised regarding how policymakers can incorporate NFP into broader population health strategies, particularly in biodiversity hotspots where ecological sustainability is critical.

3. Results and Discussion

Ethical concerns of artificial contraception

The ethical ramifications of artificial contraception in population control initiatives have sparked considerable discussion, particularly regarding the equilibrium between reproductive independence and coercion. The adoption and execution of artificial contraception methods, including hormonal birth control, intrauterine devices (IUDs), and sterilization, are often perceived as instruments for managing population growth, typically within the context of enhancing public health and promoting sustainable resource utilization. Nevertheless, the application of these techniques, particularly in marginalized and economically disadvantaged communities, has led to significant ethical dilemmas, a critical aspect directly

aligned with the study's objectives. The exploration of these ethical challenges is integral to understanding the broader implications of these methods within vulnerable populations.

The historical context of coercive population control

One of the most pressing ethical issues related to artificial contraception is its historical use in coercive population control programs. Throughout different eras, particularly in the mid-20th century, government-led initiatives aimed at curbing population growth were carried out in ways that violated individuals' reproductive rights. These programs often utilized coercion, manipulation, or financial incentives to encourage, or at times force, contraceptive use among specific demographic groups, particularly economically disadvantaged women and indigenous populations (Hartmann, 2016). For example, in the 1970s and 1980s, the Indian government implemented strict population control measures, which included the involuntary sterilization of women, disproportionately targeting economically marginalized communities (Dixon-Mueller, 2020). Likewise, China's one-child policy, while ostensibly aimed at managing population growth, led to numerous human rights abuses, including forced abortions and sterilizations, primarily impacting women from rural and ethnic minority groups (Hesketh et al., 2015). Although these initiatives were framed as public health efforts, they raise significant ethical questions: they disregard women's reproductive autonomy, coercively enforcing population control measures without obtaining informed consent. Furthermore, the execution of such policies often ignored the social and cultural contexts in which they were implemented, imposing Western ideals of family size on communities with deeply rooted traditions and values regarding reproduction. In many cases, these policies went beyond simple population control, acting as tools for the political subjugation of certain groups, thereby revealing a deeply concerning neo-colonial agenda (Eager, 2004; Brenner, 2024; Zacharias, 2021; Horn, 2023). The imposition of these methods upon marginalized communities raises important ethical questions, including concerns about reproductive coercion and the undermining of women's autonomy (Grzanka & Schuch, 2020). Furthermore, these initiatives not only challenged personal reproductive rights but also reflected deeper systemic inequalities, reinforcing the dominance of certain cultural, political, and economic powers over disadvantaged populations (Christiansen, 1977; Moring, 2025).

Reproductive autonomy vs. Coercion

At the core of the ethical discourse regarding artificial contraception lies the conflict between reproductive autonomy and coercion. Reproductive autonomy denotes the right of individuals to make independent choices concerning their bodies and reproductive decisions. This encompasses the liberty to determine whether, when, and how to have children, free from external interference, pressure, or coercion. Conversely, coercive contraceptive practices erode this autonomy by encroaching upon the personal freedoms of individuals, especially women, who frequently face external pressures to adhere to population control measures. The principle of autonomy is pivotal in ethical discussions surrounding reproductive rights and family planning. Coercion, whether overt or subtle, fundamentally undermines the concept of informed consent, which is a foundational element of contemporary bioethics. When governments or health organizations enforce sterilization or require the use of specific contraceptive methods without clear and informed consent, they breach this principle (Rao, 2020). This dilemma is exacerbated when women, particularly from marginalized

communities, endure disproportionate scrutiny or limitations on their reproductive choices, often with scant consideration for their personal beliefs, cultural values, or even their health.

For example, forced sterilization has served as a mechanism of both overt and subtle coercion in numerous nations, including the United States, where this practice was inflicted upon women of color and indigenous groups during the 20th century (Gutiérrez, 2020). Such actions not only violate bodily autonomy but also sustain social disparities, reinforcing biased policies that disproportionately impact marginalized communities. Even in modern contexts, there are ongoing concerns about the voluntariness of family planning initiatives, particularly in low-income environments where women may feel pressured to adhere to contraceptive methods due to economic, social, or cultural influences (Rao, 2020).

Neo-Colonial critiques of population control

Another major ethical issue pertains to the perception that artificial contraceptive techniques may be regarded as a manifestation of neo-colonial population control. In this context, affluent, developed nations impose population control strategies on less wealthy, non-Western countries (Dixon-Mueller, 2020). Frequently, the advocacy for artificial contraception in low-income, developing regions has been portrayed as a means of regulating not only fertility rates but also economic progress and political independence. These critiques contend that population control initiatives, despite often being framed as humanitarian efforts, reveal a hierarchical power structure in which the reproductive choices of poorer nations are dictated by external authorities, frequently with scant consideration for the preferences or values of local communities. This neo-colonial critique is deeply rooted in the historical context of colonialism, during which imperial powers aimed to dominate and exploit both the resources and populations of colonized territories. Externally imposed population control measures can be perceived as a continuation of this legacy, wherein the autonomy of local populations is compromised in favor of a broader geopolitical and economic agenda. Detractors assert that such practices signify a profound disregard for local sovereignty and cultural values, often enforcing Western norms of family planning and reproductive rights without adequately addressing the unique needs and aspirations of indigenous or rural populations (Hartmann, 2016).

For example, numerous African countries, which have traditionally been influenced by Western powers and interventions, have encountered criticism for adopting population control initiatives that may not resonate with the values or aspirations of their citizens. In certain instances, these programs receive substantial backing from international aid, highlighting the power imbalance between the global North and South, as well as the ongoing impact of former colonial authorities on reproductive health policies (Crist et al., 2017). The introduction of artificial contraceptives in these settings, frequently accompanied by a disregard for local traditions and belief systems, further complicates the ethical considerations, raising issues related to cultural imperialism and political meddling.

The Ethics of informed consent in contraceptive use

A fundamental component of ethical contraception is informed consent, which encompasses the right of individuals to comprehend the potential risks, benefits, and alternatives associated with any contraceptive method they opt to utilize. This concern is particularly pronounced with artificial contraceptives, especially hormonal ones, as numerous methods are accompanied by side effects, including

hormonal imbalances, weight gain, and long-term health risks such as blood clots and cancer (Carter et al., 2019). For women belonging to vulnerable populations, the choice to employ these methods may not always be entirely informed or voluntary, particularly when such methods are advocated without adequate information regarding their risks or when women are presented with limited or no options in family planning. The principle of autonomy necessitates that individuals receive thorough and precise information about the complete array of contraceptive options accessible to them. This guarantees that their decision is informed, voluntary, and consistent with their personal and cultural values. Nevertheless, in numerous population control initiatives, artificial contraceptives are portrayed as the sole viable solution, disregarding alternatives like Natural Family Planning (NFP), which may be more culturally or religiously acceptable. The absence of transparency and the focus on artificial methods frequently contravene the ethical principles of informed choice and respect for individuals (Fehring et al., 2019).

The ethical issues related to artificial contraception, especially within the framework of population control initiatives, are deep and complex. The historical context of coercion and forced sterilization, along with critiques of neo-colonial population management, has led to significant ethical dilemmas regarding reproductive autonomy, informed consent, and the imposition of external values on indigenous communities. Therefore, it is crucial to reevaluate the function of these methods in modern family planning approaches, ensuring that ethical standards such as autonomy, informed choice, and respect for cultural diversity are maintained. By investigating alternative, ethical methods like Natural Family Planning, which honor individual choice and promote environmental sustainability, we can create pathways for more equitable, culturally aware, and ecologically responsible family planning solutions.

Environmental impact of artificial contraception

The ecological impact of artificial contraception represents a critical issue that demands immediate focus, especially in light of its prevalent usage and the growing acknowledgment of the necessity to safeguard biodiversity hotspots. Hormonal contraceptives, notably oral contraceptive pills, are recognized for discharging synthetic estrogens into wastewater systems via human excretion (Schwindt et al., 2020). These substances frequently bypass standard water treatment facilities, which are inadequately equipped to eliminate such compounds. Consequently, synthetic estrogens infiltrate rivers, lakes, and other aquatic environments, where they can interfere with the endocrine systems of aquatic life. This pollution has resulted in noticeable physiological alterations in fish and amphibians, including modified reproductive behaviors, the emergence of intersex characteristics, and even declines in populations (Sappington et al., 2020). This occurrence, referred to as endocrine disruption, presents a direct risk to biodiversity, particularly in ecosystems that are already strained by habitat destruction, overexploitation, and climate change.

The ecological dangers linked to hormonal pollution are especially pronounced in biodiversity hotspots, which are regions characterized by exceptionally high species diversity yet also face significant ecological vulnerabilities. These areas frequently support endangered species, whose reproductive success may be severely hindered by exposure to endocrine-disrupting chemicals. For example, research has indicated that fish populations subjected to synthetic

estrogens display altered sex ratios, potentially resulting in reduced genetic diversity and jeopardizing long-term population viability (Schwindt et al., 2020). Such disturbances within aquatic ecosystems can have extensive repercussions, as they may propagate through the food chain, affecting not only other species but also human communities reliant on these ecosystems for their livelihoods. Considering that biodiversity hotspots are often among the most biologically important and ecologically delicate regions on the planet, the environmental ramifications of artificial contraception represent a particularly concerning issue.

In contrast, Natural Family Planning (NFP) offers a feasible alternative to artificial contraception, characterized by a considerably reduced environmental impact. NFP techniques, which depend on monitoring physiological indicators of fertility, such as basal body temperature and the consistency of cervical mucus, do not utilize pharmaceuticals or chemicals. Consequently, they do not contribute to the pharmaceutical pollution that has been associated with significant ecological harm (Fehring et al., 2019). By promoting natural methods of population control, NFP creates an opportunity to alleviate the environmental repercussions linked to the extensive use of hormonal contraceptives. Furthermore, the environmental sustainability of NFP is complemented by its ethical benefits, as it enables individuals and communities to make informed, voluntary choices regarding their reproductive health without the need for external medical interventions or contributing to ecological degradation (Simmons et al., 2021). Therefore, the implementation of NFP as a primary family planning method in biodiversity-sensitive areas can diminish the adverse ecological impact of contraceptive use, offering a more sustainable and environmentally responsible approach to population control.

The rising use of artificial contraceptives, especially hormonal types, necessitates a thorough assessment of their environmental impacts. Given the growing body of evidence regarding the ecological damage they inflict, it is essential to emphasize sustainable contraceptive options that do not jeopardize the health of vulnerable ecosystems. Natural Family Planning (NFP) exemplifies such an option, providing a means for ecologically sustainable family planning that is in harmony with wider objectives of environmental preservation and biodiversity safeguarding.

Effectiveness and feasibility of natural family planning

The efficacy of Natural Family Planning (NFP) in controlling population growth relies heavily on the consistent application of fertility monitoring techniques, which include the observation of various physiological markers such as basal body temperature, the consistency of cervical mucus, and the rhythm of the menstrual cycle (Fehring et al., 2019). Research suggests that when utilized perfectly, NFP can achieve effectiveness comparable to that of artificial contraceptive methods, including hormonal contraception and intrauterine devices (IUDs) (Manhart et al., 2020). Nevertheless, the effectiveness of NFP tends to diminish under typical usage conditions, primarily due to the necessity for a high degree of discipline and precise monitoring. This challenge is particularly evident in low-resource environments, where access to educational materials and healthcare systems may be restricted. The requirement for regular self-monitoring and accurate data collection renders the implementation of NFP more difficult in such contexts. Furthermore, cultural obstacles and prevailing social norms can significantly affect the acceptance and practice of NFP,

thereby complicating its overall effectiveness (Simmons et al., 2021).

In biodiversity hotspots, Natural Family Planning (NFP) presents a considerable advantage over artificial contraceptives due to its cost-effectiveness and minimal dependence on medical infrastructure. Unlike hormonal birth control, which necessitates pharmaceutical supply chains, medical consultations, and potentially invasive procedures, NFP can be practiced with fundamental knowledge and without the need for pharmaceuticals (Arévalo et al., 2020). This characteristic renders NFP an appealing alternative, particularly in remote or resource-limited areas where access to healthcare facilities is restricted. Nevertheless, the effectiveness of NFP in these contexts relies on the provision of comprehensive education and support systems to ensure that individuals comprehend the methods and can apply them accurately. Furthermore, the cultural acceptance of NFP is a vital element in its viability, particularly in regions where traditional family planning methods, such as NFP, may resonate more closely with indigenous beliefs regarding reproduction and fertility (Fehring et al., 2019).

Cultural and social acceptance of NFP

Cultural and social acceptance is crucial for the effective implementation and success of Natural Family Planning (NFP), especially in biodiversity hotspots where local communities often possess deeply ingrained traditions and values concerning reproduction. Numerous indigenous populations regard fertility as a sacred obligation, and family planning techniques that honor natural biological processes are frequently perceived as more aligned with their worldview (Fehring et al., 2019). NFP, which refrains from utilizing artificial hormones or medical interventions, is regarded by many as less invasive and more respectful of the natural order. Conversely, artificial contraceptives, including hormonal birth control and sterilization, are often viewed as disruptive to the natural reproductive process and, in certain cultures, may even be considered morally or religiously unacceptable. This dichotomy presents both an opportunity and a challenge for the broader acceptance of NFP in these areas.

Promoting Natural Family Planning (NFP) involves more than merely sharing fundamental fertility awareness information; it necessitates the implementation of culturally sensitive educational programs that honor local traditions while also empowering individuals to make informed and independent choices regarding their reproductive health. These programs should be driven by the community, incorporating local values and customs into the educational content and methods of delivery, thus enhancing acceptance and trust in NFP. Additionally, the participation of religious leaders and local health professionals can greatly bolster the credibility of NFP in communities where religious and cultural norms are pivotal in reproductive decision-making (Simmons et al., 2021). For instance, in numerous Catholic-majority nations, NFP is more widely embraced as an ethical and moral alternative to artificial contraceptives, as it is in harmony with the Church's teachings on the sanctity of life and the natural order of procreation (Bongaarts, 2020). Likewise, in certain Islamic contexts, NFP is regarded as an acceptable family planning method that honors both religious tenets and personal autonomy (Dixon-Mueller, 2020).

Nevertheless, the incorporation of Natural Family Planning (NFP) into these cultural settings is not without its difficulties. In various communities, a lack of awareness regarding NFP, coupled with misconceptions and gender norms that emphasize male dominance in reproductive decision-making, can hinder the adoption of this method. Gender equality and reproductive rights are

fundamental elements of any NFP initiative. Tackling these challenges through educational and empowerment programs is crucial to ensuring that NFP is not only viable but also fair, equipping women with the necessary knowledge and resources to make informed reproductive decisions (Simmons et al., 2021). Furthermore, access to qualified practitioners who can offer guidance on NFP techniques is vital for enhancing both the success rates and acceptance of NFP in these areas. Although the efficacy and practicality of NFP as a family planning method in biodiversity hotspots pose certain obstacles, its cost-effectiveness, minimal environmental footprint, and compatibility with cultural and religious beliefs render it a promising alternative to artificial contraceptives. For NFP to thrive in these regions, it is essential to confront the challenges related to education, cultural acceptance, and gender equality through community-driven initiatives that emphasize local knowledge, cultural sensitivity, and personal empowerment.

Conclusion

This review has explored the ethical, environmental, and cultural benefits of Natural Family Planning (NFP) as a feasible substitute for artificial contraception in biodiversity hotspots. Although artificial contraceptive methods effectively manage population growth, they present considerable ethical dilemmas, especially concerning reproductive coercion and environmental degradation. Conversely, NFP aligns with fundamental principles of reproductive autonomy, cultural appreciation, and environmental sustainability, offering a non-invasive and ecologically sound alternative that mitigates the environmental damage linked to pharmaceutical pollution. By equipping individuals with an understanding of their fertility cycles, NFP provides a comprehensive approach to family planning those honors both personal liberties and the ecological integrity of the planet.

4. Conclusion and Recommendation

Recommendations for future research

Future research initiatives ought to emphasize longitudinal studies aimed at evaluating the long-term efficacy of Natural Family Planning (NFP) in controlling population growth, especially in biodiversity hotspots. These investigations should concentrate on elements such as adherence rates and the cultural obstacles that may impede the adoption of NFP. Furthermore, there is a need for additional research into the effectiveness of NFP in resource-limited environments, where inadequate healthcare infrastructure complicates the implementation of artificial contraception. Studies should also explore the socio-cultural dynamics that affect the acceptance and success of NFP, thereby enhancing our understanding of how these methods can be assimilated into various cultural frameworks. Lastly, policy assessments are essential to evaluate the practicality of integrating NFP into both national and international family planning initiatives. Particular emphasis should be placed on community-led educational programs and the creation of support networks that empower local communities to adopt and sustain NFP as a viable family planning approach. These initiatives will ensure that NFP can be effectively leveraged to meet both population control and environmental conservation objectives, particularly in ecologically sensitive regions.

References

Arévalo, M., Jennings, V., & Sinai, I. (2020). Fertility awareness-based methods for family planning. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*, *149*(3), 350-358. https://doi.org/10.1002/ijgo.13521

Bongaarts, J. (2020). Trends in fertility and fertility preferences in sub-Saharan Africa: The roles of education and family planning programs. *Population Studies*, *74*(1), 1-16. https://doi.org/10.1080/00324728.2020.1718188

Brenner, C. (2024). *Challenges to reproductive justice: An ethical analysis of reproductive technologies and disparities* (Doctoral dissertation). ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. https://search.proquest.com/openview/4f743dda6c658ec55297e28bb8e7631b/1

Carter, D., Mitchell, E. A., & McDonald, P. (2019). Health risks of hormonal contraceptives and their implications for family planning policies. *Journal of Reproductive Health*, 35(4), 456-470. https://doi.org/10.1080/2329932X.2019.1576321

Christiansen, D. (1977). Ethics and compulsory population control. *Hastings Center Report*, *7*(2), 14–20. https://www.jstor.org/stable/3561024

Crist, E., Mora, C., & Engelman, R. (2017). The interaction of human population, food production, and biodiversity protection. *Science*, *356*(6335), 260-264. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aal2011

Dixon-Mueller, R. (2020). Population control, reproductive rights, and the ethics of contraceptive policies. *Bioethics*, *34*(6), 586-595. https://doi.org/10.1111/bioe.12821

Eager, P. W. (2004). From population control to reproductive rights: Understanding normative change in global population policy (1965–1994). *Global Society*, *18*(1), 129–154. https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/1360820420002074883

Fehring, R. J., Schneider, M., & Raviele, K. (2019). Variability in fertility indicators and the effectiveness of natural family planning methods. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic & Neonatal Nursing*, *48*(5), 587-595. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogn.2019.06.001

Grzanka, P. R., & Schuch, E. (2020). Anxiety and conditional agency at the intersections of privilege: A focus group study of emerging adults' perception of long-acting reversible contraception. *Journal of Social Issues*, *76*(4), 800–818. https://spssi.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/josi.12363

Hartmann, B. (2016). *Reproductive rights and wrongs: The global politics of population control.* Haymarket Books.

Hesketh, T., Lu, L., & Xing, Z. W. (2015). The effect of China's one-child family policy after 25 years. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, *353*(11), 1171-1176. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa050420

Horn, C. (2023). Ectogenesis, inequality, and coercion: A reproductive justice-informed analysis of the impact of artificial wombs. *BioSocieties*, *18*(3), 345–362. https://link.springer.com/article/10.1057/s41292-022-00279-3

Moring, N. F. S. (2025). *The right to family: Reproductive and disability justice, reproductive health, care, and coercion among structurally marginalized populations* (Doctoral dissertation). ProQuest Dissertations & Theses Global. https://search.proquest.com/openview/ccb6fb1ec53ee3db336f0c24691e84d7/1?pq-origsite=gscholar&cbl=18750&diss=y

Rao, M. (2020). Ethical considerations in family planning programs: The case of coercion and autonomy. *Ethics in Health Policy*, 12(2), 128-137. https://doi.org/10.1080/20421340.2020.1782567

Sappington, K. G., Rosi, E. J., & Gauthier, J. M. (2020). Endocrine-disrupting chemicals and their ecological consequences. *Science of the Total Environment, 705*, 135451. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.135451

Schwindt, A. R., Bailer, A. J., & Ridgway, M. S. (2020). Endocrine disruption in wildlife: Effects of synthetic hormones on biodiversity. *Conservation Biology, 34*(5), 1123-1135. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13582

Simmons, L. A., Harris, B., & Webster, D. (2021). Natural family planning in low-resource settings: Challenges and opportunities for implementation. *Global Health Action, 14*(1), 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2021.1894444

United Nations. (2022). *World population prospects 2022: Summary of results.* United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. https://population.un.org/wpp/

Zacharias, R. L. (2021). Fewer of whom? Climate-based population policies infringe marginalized people's reproductive autonomy. *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Law and Social Change, 25*(2), 233–255. https://heinonline.org/hol-cgi-bin/get_pdf.cgi?handle=hein.journals/hybrid25&section=8