

Diversity management practices and employee morale in Business environment: Nigerian firms in focus

KIFORDU A. Anthony

*Business Administration and Marketing Department,
Delta State University, Asaba – Nigeria.*

Abstract

The paper addressed diversity management practices and employee morale in the present Nigerian business environment. The dimensions of workplace management were viewed from polarization and autonomy aspects of employee morale to measure and determine the level of influence. Inferential statistics were used in analysing the data drawn from a sample of 750. Findings revealed a significant positive relationship between workplace diversity management, polarization, and autonomy on employee morale in Nigerian Firms. The recommendation was implicated on continuous use of workplace management strategy as an enhancement tool of workgroup towards the determination, direction, and improvement of organizational performance.

Keywords: Diversity management, employee morale, workplace management, business environment

1. Introduction

Managing diversity and employee morale have had early influences. Management of diversity naturally has been of some concern to organized history throughout the history of civilization. Management of diversity has also existed in Nigeria and employee morale has been a very important performance variable. It aimed to raise raw materials as inputs in the British factories to generate revenue to win the Second World War, Woman, Bland, & Chase(2014),The diversity here was whether the plan was for Nigeria or Britain as their interest was on growth abroad rather than indigenous employee's morale. Diversity management continued to influence employee morale about age, gender, religion, and tribe which could be positive if the strategies were right and negative if they were not,As Lawrence (2019).

Nigerian managers since independence in 1960 have struggled with the challenges of managing religious, ethnic, and political

diversities bordering on gender, nationality, social class, background, and sexual orientation, mental and physical capabilities amongst others in organizations. This major test of a manager's ability to promote organizational integration has been causing a lot of moral and performance difficulties on diversity management. Problems also abound such as professionalism, individual identity, intellectual discrimination, etc as such practices that can dampen morale by some staff that stretches these components in a workplace too far. It has also entailed showing concern only for the achievement of departmental goals rather than overall organizational goals, neglecting the cost/effect on broad organizational goals. It has also entailed forgetting that Diversity Management knowledge is to galvanize the entirely different forces; environmental factors of Political, Economic, Socio-Cultural, and technological environments to make them

achieve a unitary goal without dissatisfaction.

Objectives

- 1.To determine the relevance of workplace diversity management on the polarization acts of employee morale in manufacturing firms.
- 2.To ascertain the relevance of workplace management on the autonomy aspect of employee morale in manufacturing firms

Hypotheses

H₀₁: workplace diversity management does not have a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale in manufacturing firms.

H₀₂: workplace management does not have a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale in manufacturing firms

2. Review of Related Literature

Diversity Management

Diversity management is the extent to which managers recognize the multi socio-economic, political, ethnic, religious, and academic backgrounds of their workforce and its potential advantages and disadvantages that defines an organizations' approach to managing performance (Price, 2018). Management of diversity in organizations is dependent upon the acceptance of some primary objectives to which employees are willing to commit, such as survival of the firm (Marthur, 2019). In today's fast-paced work environment, a successful organization is one where diversity is the norm and not the exception (Lawrence, 2019). Some of these are greatly lacking in Nigerian organizations under causing a lot of organizational dysfunctionality which is the kernel of this paper.

Workplace Diversity Management

The study as carried out by Broadly (2018), defined diversity management as the systematic and planned commitment by the organizations to recruit, retain, reward and promote a heterogeneous mix of employees. Theories and techniques of diversity management have been developed and enthusiastically supported by a growing number of chief executives training specialists, diversity consultants, and academics (Saji,2014). Diversity can improve organizational effectiveness. Organizations that develop experience in and reputations for managing diversity will likely attract the best personnel (Carrel, et al, 2019).

The Concept of Workplace Management

The concept of workplace management entails designing an environment within the groups and organizations so that the organizations and the individuals within them can achieve their goals and objectives by utilizing human, material, and financial resources. The groups are made of individuals who come together to achieve a common purpose. Organizations are networks of people where some of them have authority and line and staff relations are built and departments are arranged to perform the work. Goals are long-term aims at a point in an organization's mission. Objectives are short-term aims at a point in an organization's mission. Resources are the inputs in the production process which include men or human resources, materials, money, time, energy, knowledge, information, and infrastructure (Ogbo, Kifordu & Ukpere, 2014). Workplace management could also be seen from the perspective of planning, organizing, staffing, leading, and controlling the workplace (Nwachukwu, 2016).

Concept of Employee Morale

Employee morale is a performance factor that determines the extent to which a worker in an enterprise is happy in doing his or her work because of being in high spirits and because of being a member of the congenial workgroup and cohesive workgroup, Sania, Kalpina, and Javel (2014). It is by being a member of the integrated group with high morale that a worker in an enterprise can make his or her maximum contribution to the enterprise. It is a state of mind which makes people do great things. The elements of moral consideration in this study include Polarization and Autonomy. Polarization is the degree to which the group is oriented towards a goal that is clear to the members and shared by them while Autonomy is the degree to which a group determines its activities and takes its decision

The Concept of Polarization Aspect of Employee Morale

Polarization is that element of employee morale that establishes the degree to which the groups of workers with their similarities and differences are oriented towards an objective that is clear to the members and shared by them. So polarization has to do with group cohesiveness and sharing. If a group is cohesive and members share common goals the level of conflict will be much reduced. With this type of congruence, cohesiveness, and group sharing, the group becomes a team and a team is a high-performing group. In teamwork, performance becomes very high in terms of the extent of achieving goals and objectives, in terms of the extent of fulfilling promises made to stakeholders, and in terms of the behavior of the staff to achieve the goals and objectives (Shekh, 2006).

The Concept of Autonomy aspect of Employee Morale

Autonomy is the freedom of an organization to govern itself independently (Hornby, 2004). In the case of the Brewing companies, the Unions in the food and beverage subsector of the manufacturing sector demand that the lower levels of management should be involved in decision making. Autonomy is also the ability to act and make decisions without being controlled by anyone else (Hornby, 2004).

The Concept of Business Environment

The business enterprise is a part of society and the business environment has a direct relationship with the policy of the enterprise. The environment may impose several constraints on the enterprise Environment means the surrounding external objects, influences, or circumstances under which someone or something exists. The environment of any organization is "the aggregate of all conditions, events, and influences that surround and affect". The business environment exhibits many characteristics since it is complex, dynamic, multifaceted and it has a far-reaching impact. For all these reasons dividing the environment into external and internal components enables us to understand it better. Every business enterprise thus consists of a set of internal factors and is confronted with a set of external factors.

Theoretical Frameworks

This paper was anchored on two theories, The Framework Theory of Workplace diversity management and Leadership approach problem-solving theory in organizations.

The Framework theory of workforce diversity management

The conceptual theory here posits that organizational diversity as reflected in demographics and cultural diversity may affect organizational effectiveness. However, the way management values diversity, not diversity itself, is what moderates the relationship between diversity itself and organizational effectiveness because it addresses the organizational processes that can reinforce or hinder the ability to create an environment that values diversity. These organizational processes include hiring, promotion, communication, and power allocation in organizations. In the past, most organizations used what is called "the melting pot approach" to managing diversity in the working place. This assumes that people with different backgrounds would want to know the points where there are differences. This will help to broaden their knowledge. Now organizations have realized that their employees do not see aside from their cultural values and lifestyle preferences when they come to work. The challenge for the manager is to create a work environment in which different lifestyles, family needs, and work styles are accommodated. This is a melting point approach, which recognizes and values differences, Linday (2018).

Leadership Approach Theory for Solving Moral Problem

Management and leadership are often used as synonymous terms according to Coleman (2015), although they connote entirely different concepts. Coleman maintains that management is concerned with finance, structure, staffing, direction, mission, and effectiveness. The manager "... is focused on the organization's behavior of being consistent, with form and function, working to support the

workforce in achieving the organization's mission and its goals and objectives"

Literature Gap

This study fills a missing link in the existing body of knowledge in two ways. Firstly, few empirical studies exist in emerging countries on the subject of discussion. Hence, we added to the existing literature by expanding the understanding of this research area of developing countries like Nigeria. Secondly, we document the empirical findings of workplace diversity management and employee morale in Nigerian manufacturing firms. Thirdly, we further established the fact of workplace diversity and employee morale has a strong relationship.

Empirical Reviews

The influence of Diversity Management on Employee Morale

Otiye, Messah, and Nwalekwa (2012) worked on the effect of workplace Diversity Management on Organizational effectiveness and employee morale. The work sought to establish the effects of workplace diversity management on organizational effectiveness which increased employee morale on selected branches of Kenya commercial banks Limited by doing a case study. The methodology used was a descriptive instrumental survey with a 60% response rate. It was found that diversity increased team cohesiveness and employee morale. The influence of diversity management on the state of minds aspect of employee morale Alen (2004) worked on the influence of diversity management on the learning responses for the modern organization. A sample of 100 respondents in a manufacturing company in Kenya was interviewed. It was found that Diversity Management had a positive influence on such elements of employee morale, polarization, and autonomy. He found that

when people are in congenial groups, they become enthusiastic and it removes apathy and boredom in executing their tasks.

The influence of workplace diversity management on the Polarization aspect of employee morale

Holly and Kevin (2004) worked on Diversity climate impact on employee color outcomes. Workplace diversity management had the purpose of providing an enabling environment for handling the differences in socio-cultural aspects and other aspects so that the workers can aim at achieving the organizational goals. A sample of 120 respondents was interviewed in a survey of some manufacturing firms in Los Angeles, United States of America. They found that workplace diversity management had a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale that enables a high degree of the extent to which a group was oriented towards the achievement of organizational goals. These goals had a long-run effect on organizational growth and development.

The influence of Workplace Management of Diversity on the Autonomy aspect of Employee Morale

Bryan (1999) did an empirical study on the diversity imperative. He used a sample of 150 respondents in a factory in Philadelphia in his case study and survey.

He observed that workplace management of diversity needed the act of planning, organizing, staffing leading, and controlling which were the function of management. He found that effective workplace management of diversity had a positive influence on the extent to which the group had its control and so could take its own decisions

3. Methodology

The research design was a survey. The population was made up of 750 categorized staff drawn from four brewing companies quoted in the Nigerian stock exchange market with the knowledge of diversity management on employee morale. These departments include the personnel department and the brewing department. Diversity management is a human resource management variable. Employee morale is a performance variable and people in the brewing department are responsible for the production of beer. The Taro Yamane's formula was now used to arrive at a sample size of 511 giving a difference of 239. It was considered that going around the 750 subjects would mean doing a census and this would minimize the sampling error. So, it was decided to do a census rather than sampling. The population size per brewing company is given hereunder:

4. Results and Dissscussion

	Brewing	Population size per brewing
1.	Nigeria Breweries Plc	300
2.	Guinness Nigeria Plc	225
3.	Hero Breweries Plc	150
4.	Continental breweries Plc	75

Source: Researcher's Fieldwork 2021.

Delimited

application of Variables

The variables used include three independent variables; Diversity Management, Workplace Diversity, and employee morale.

Workplace Management. The Dependent variables applied were; Employee Morale, Polarization aspect of employee morale, and Autonomy aspect of

Table1: The analysis of the responses related to objective One

Statements

	Statements		SA	A	U	D	SD
1.	Workplace diversity management has a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	F	518	111	36	37	38
		%	70.00	15.00	4.86	5.00	5.14
2.	Workplace diversity management does not have a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	f	38	37	36	111	518
		%	5.14	5.00	4.86	15.00	70.00
3.	There is a relationship between workplace diversity management and the polarization aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	f	52	112	35	35	37
		%	70.41	15.41	4.73	4.73	5.00
4.	There is no relationship between workplace diversity management and the polarization aspect of the employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	F	37	35	35	112	521
		%	5.00	4.73	4.73	15.14	70.41

N.B: 100.01 are rounded up to 100 in numbers.

Source: The statements, responses, and frequencies are got from the questionnaires administered.

Table 1, shows that for the statement that the workplace diversity management has a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale on the brewing companies in the area studied; the responses are Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Undecided (U), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). They have frequencies of 518, 111, 36, 37, and 38

respectively out of 740. These give percentages of 70.00, 15.00, 4.86, 5.00, and 5.14 respectively totalling 100.

For the statement that workplace diversity management does not have a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale, the response is Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. They have frequencies

of 38, 37, 36, 111, and 518 respectively out of 740. These give percentages of 5.14, 5.00, 4.86, 15.60, and 76.00 totaling 100.

For the statement that there is a relationship between workplace diversity management and the polarization aspect of employee morale in the brewing companies in the area studied, the responses are Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. They have frequencies of 521, 112, 35, 35, 37 respectively out of 740. These give percentages of 70.41, 15.14, 4.73, 4.73, and 5.00 totalling 100.

For the statement that workplace diversity management does not have a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale in the brewing companies in the area studied, the responses are Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. They have frequencies of 37, 35, 35, 112, and 521 respectively out of 100. These give percentages of 5.00, 4.73, 4.73, 15.14, and 70.41 respectively.

Table 2: The analysis of the responses related to objective two

	Statements		SA	A	U	D	SD
1.	Workplace management has a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	F	532	105	34	34	35
		%	71.89	14.19	4.59	4.59	4.73
2.	Workplace management does not have a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	f	35	34	34	105	53
		%	4.73	4.59	4.59	14.19	71.89
3.	There is no relationship between workplace management and the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	f	35	34	3	105	53
		%	4.73	4.59	4.46	4.19	72.03
4.	There is a relationship between workplace management and the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied.	F	533	105	33	34	35
		%	72.03	14.19	4.46	4.59	4.73

N.B: 99.99% approximated to 100% in numbers 1 and 2.

Source: *The statements, responses, and frequencies are got from the questionnaires administered.*

From Table 2, it is shown that for the statement that workplace management has a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied, Strongly Agree (SA), Agree (A), Undecided (U), Disagree (D), and Strongly Disagree (SD). They have frequencies of 532, 105, 34, 34, and 35 respectively of 740. These give percentages of 71.89, 14.19, 4.59, 4.59, and 4.73 respectively totaling 100%.

For the statement that workplace management does not have a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied, the responses are Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. They have frequencies of 35, 34, 34, 105, and 532 respectively out of 740. These give percentages of 4.73, 4.59, 4.59, 14.19, and 71.89 respectively totalling 100.

For the statement that there is no relationship between workplace management and the autonomy aspect of employee morale, the responses are Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. They have frequencies of 35, 34, 33, 105, and 533 respectively out of 740. These give percentages of 4.73, 4.59, 4.46, 14.19, and 72.03 respectively totaling 100.

For the statement that there is a relationship between workplace management and the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied, the responses are Strongly Agree, Agree, Undecided, Disagree, and Strongly Disagree. They have frequencies of 533, 105, 33, 34, and 35 respectively out of 740. These give percentages of 72.03, 14.19, 4.46, 4.58, and 4.73 respectively totalling 100.

Hypotheses Testing

Table 3: The computational details of the first hypothesis

Statement		SA	A	U	D	SD	Calculated z value	Table z value	Statistical decision
Workplace diversity management has a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale in the brewing companies in the area studied	f	518	111	36	37	38	33.904	1.645	Reject Ho
	x	5	4	3	2	1			

N.B: $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$ where

\bar{x} is the sample mean, μ is the population mean, s is the sample standard deviation and n is the sample size.



$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{n} = \frac{(518)(5) + (111)(4) + (36)(3) + (37)(2) + (38)(1)}{740}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{3254}{740} = 4.397297297$$

$\bar{x} = 4.397$ to 3 decimal places

$$s^2 = \sum f \frac{(x - \bar{x})^2}{n - 1} = \frac{(518)(0.603)^2 + (111)(-0.397)^2 + (36)(-3.397)^2 + (37)(-2.397)^2 + (38)(-3.397)^2}{739}$$

$$s^2 = \frac{927.19466}{739} = 1.254661245$$

$$s = \sqrt{s^2} = \sqrt{1.254661245}$$

$$s = 1.120116621$$

$$\text{population mean } \mu = \frac{5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1}{5} = \frac{15}{5} = 3$$

$$\text{So } z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

$$z = \frac{4.397 - 3}{\frac{1.120116621}{\sqrt{739}}}$$

$$z = \frac{(\sqrt{739})(1.397)}{1.120116621}$$

$$z = 33.90434696$$

$z = 33.904$ to 3 decimal places

Source: The statement, responses, and frequencies are got from Table 1.

From Table 4, it is shown that the calculated z value to 3 decimal places is 33.904. The Table z value at 5% level of significance is 1.645. Since the calculated z value is more than the Table z value, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is in the brewing companies in the area studied.

shown that the calculated accepted at a 5% level of significance. This shows that workplace diversity management has a positive influence on the polarization aspect of employee morale

Table 6 shows the computational details of the second hypothesis.

Table 6: The computational details of the second hypothesis

Statement		SA	A	U	D	SD	Calculated z value	Table z value	Statistical decision
Workplace management has a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale in the brewing companies in the area studied.	f	532	105	34	34	35	35.990	1.645	Reject Ho
	x	5	4	3	2	1			

N.B: $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$ where

\bar{x} is the sample mean, μ is the population mean, s is the sample standard deviation and n is the sample size.

$$\bar{x} = \frac{\sum fx}{n} = \frac{(532)(5) + (105)(4) + (34)(3) + (34)(2) + (35)(1)}{740}$$

$$\bar{x} = \frac{3285}{740} = 4.439189169$$

$\bar{x} = 4.439$ to 3 decimal places

$$s^2 = \sum f \frac{(x - \bar{x})^2}{n-1} = \frac{(532)(-0.561)^2 + (105)(-0.439)^2 + (34)(-1.439)^2 + (34)(-2.439)^2 + (35)(-3.439)^2}{739}$$

$$s^2 = \frac{874.26354}{739} = 1.183035913$$

$$s = 1.087674544$$

$$\text{population mean } \mu = \frac{5 + 4 + 3 + 2 + 1}{5} = \frac{15}{5} = 3$$

$$\text{So } z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu}{\frac{s}{\sqrt{n}}}$$

$$z = \frac{4.439 - 3}{\frac{1.087674544}{\sqrt{740}}}$$

$$z = \frac{(\sqrt{740})(1.439)}{1.087674544}$$

$$z = 35.98965549$$

$z = 35.990$ to 3 decimal places

Source: The statement, responses, and frequencies are got from Table 2.

From Table 16, it is shown that the calculated z value is 35.990 to 3 decimal places. The Table z value at 5% level of significance is 1.645. Since the calculated z value is greater than the Table z value, the null hypothesis is rejected and the alternative hypothesis is accepted at a 5% level of significance. This shows that workplace management has a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale in the brewing companies in the area studied.

Discussion of the Findings on the Dimensions of Employee Morale

It was found that the Dimensions of Employee morale used are Polarization and Autonomy, This finding is in

agreement with the categorization of the elements of Employee Morale by Ejiofor (2000) on the polarization aspect of employee morale. Polarization is seen from the perspective of the degree to which the group is oriented towards a goal that is clear to the members and shared by them. Autonomy is the degree to which a group determines its activities and takes its own decisions. Both suggest the need for a better orientation strategy of employees to enable better team cohesion and spirit in a workplace.

The Discussion of the Findings Related to the First Objective

It was found that workplace diversity management had a positive effect on the polarization aspect of employee morale of

the brewing companies in the area studied at a 5% level of significance. This is in agreement with Kirton and Greene (2000) who averred the strong need to focus on the responsibility organizations have to all stakeholders i.e. employees, suppliers, the environment, the community, consumers, and so on that will maintain an acceptable corporate image important for the survival of the firm, (Novak, 2019). Furthermore, it's also important to recognize that there is a form of psychological contract between an organization and members of the Business community within which the organization operates. Recognition of the existence of such a relationship highlights the necessity to maintain a balance between the needs and wellbeing of the organization, its employees, the community, and other stakeholders in establishing a positive relationship of workplace diversity on the polarization aspect of employee morale.

The Discussion of the Findings Related to the second Objective

It was found that workplace management had a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of employee morale of the brewing companies in the area studied as it relates to what diversity does base on the concept that people should be valued as individuals for reasons related to business interests, as well as for moral and social reasons. It recognizes that people from different backgrounds can bring fresh ideas and perceptions, which can make the way work is done more efficient and products and services better. Managing diversity successfully will help organizations to nurture creativity and innovation and thereby tap hidden capacity for growth and improved competitiveness (Kirton and Greene, 2018).

What action does manage diversity require? The management of diversity requires action to ensure organizations

have an open workplace culture based on trust and mutual respect. In such a culture, people value each other and treat each other with dignity. Similarly, differences in personal backgrounds and characteristics do not prejudice decisions about the suitability of an individual for employment or training. Different views and ideas are welcome and are in line with CIPD research 2003, that it is important to recognize that different works in positive and negative ways and that there are tensions between different kinds of diversity. Inevitably, managing diversity is about managing conflict, complexity, and ambiguity as it is essential to address commonalities, or 'sameness', and differences at the same time. This is no mean challenge and why capabilities regarding the application of fuzzy logic and non-linear thinking and managing complexity are important. Managing diversity, like equal opportunities, requires organizations to ensure that all decisions about the employment and training of people are objective, based on merit, related to individual personal development criteria, and supportive of business goals. Managing diversity is a dynamic process based on the continuous review of workplace policies, practices, and behavior to check that these are helping all employees to give their best. It is a way of quality assuring the delivery of customer-focused business goals, recognizing that customers are internal (namely other employees), as well as external (Winstainley & Woodall, 2000).

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Conclusion

That Diversity Management had a positive influence on Employee Morale in Manufacturing companies had some management implications. It implied that the management of the differences and

similarities of the behavior, attitude to work, culture, religion, and management styles of the workers would make for an increase in the performance factor of employee morale which determines the extent to which the workers do their work. It also implied that as the systematic and planned commitment to recruit, reward and promote a heterogeneous mix of employees in the brewing industry increased the extent that the workers will be disposed to achieve the organizational objectives would increase. That the workplace diversity management had a positive influence on the polarization aspect of the Employee Morale had some implications. It implied that the efficient and effective management of the diverse workplace in the brewing companies in the area studied would make for having a congenial workgroup that would lead the high organizational productivity. It also implied that understanding that there are individual differences, along the dimensions of race, color, gender, sexual orientation, and socio-economic factors would make for clarity of goals that would lead to improvement in organizational performance. That workplace management had a positive effect on the autonomy aspect of Employee Morale of the brewing companies in the area studied had some implications. It implied that the provision of a conducive environment in the workplace to manage the differences and similarities of the employees would lead to an enhancement of the extent to which the workgroup determines its activities to improve organizational performance. It implied that the act of planning, organizing, staffing, and leading to manage the differences and similarities of the employees in the workplace would lead to an increase in group decision making that could make for an improvement in the performance in the brewing companies.

Recommendations

It is recommended that the strategic managers should be backed by policy:

1. Continue to use diversity management to improve employee morale;
2. Continue to use workplace diversity management to have a congenial workgroup that would lead to an increase in organizational productivity;
3. Continue to use workplace management to get a workgroup that would determine its direction to improve organizational performance.

References

- Ayodele, O (2011). A comparison of the customer relationship management Strategies of Nigerian Banks and Insurance Companies, *Journal of Management* 3 (3), 31-43.
- Ogbo, A., Kifordu, A. and Ukpere, W. (2014). The Effect of Workforce Diversity on Organisational Performance of Selected Firms. *Nigeria Journal of Social Sciences*. 5 (10), 11-19.
- Sania, U., Kalpina, K. and Javel, H. (2014). Diversity, Morale, and Customer Satisfaction, The Three Musketeers. *Journal of Economics and Research* 2(2), 11-18.
- Patrick, H.A. and Kumar, V.R. (2014). Managing Workplace Diversity, <http://www.managing-workplace-diversity.org>, downloaded on 25th April, by 4 pm, 1 – 12.
- Agbadudu, A.B. (2004), *Statistics for Business and the Social Sciences*, Benin City: Uri Publishing Limited.
- Agbonifoh, B.A., and Inegbenebor, A.U. (2008), "Environmental Analysis", In *Strategic Management Concepts, Principles and Directions*, Benin City: Mindex



- Publishing Company Limited, 63 – 88.
- Allen, R. (2004), Diversity practices: Learning responses for Modern Organization, Development and Learning on Organizations: *An International Journal*, 18(6).
- Amah, N. (2012), *How Training Improves Commitment, Carrier Satisfaction and Innovation in Guinness Nigeria Plc.* Benin City: Guinness Nigeria Plc.
- Amaratunga, D. and Baldry, D. (2002), “Performance Measurement in Facilities Management and its Relationships with Management Theory” *Motivation Facilities*, 20(10).
- Amy, M.C. (2005), “A Configurational Framework for diversity: socialization and culture,” *Personnel Review*, 34(4).
- Arwood, R. (1989), “Positive Reinforcement, The manager’s Secret Tool to enhance Employee Morale”, *Speaking of Fire*, 3(1), pp 1-10.
- Asika, N. (2004), *Research Methodology: A Process Approach*, Lagos: Mukugamu and Brothers Enterprises.
- Ayodele, O (2011), “A comparison of the customer relationship management Strategies of Nigerian Banks and Insurance Companies”, [http://www.ijmbr.srbiau.ac.ir.1\(3\)](http://www.ijmbr.srbiau.ac.ir.1(3)).
- Banks, R.L. and Wheelwright, S.C. (1979), “Operations versus Strategy – Trading Tomorrow for Today,” *Harvard Business Review*, May- June.
- Bardwick, J.M. (1996), “Peacetime Management and Wartime Leadership,” In Frances Hesselbein, Marshall Goldsmith, and Richard Beckhard (Eds.), *The leader of the future*, New York: The Drucker Foundation.
- Benton, D. (2011), *Leadership and Diversity Management*. Department of Transportation. New York: New York Publishers.
- Bernardo, M.F. and Sari, E.B. (1996), “Models of Diversity Training,” *Handbook of Intercultural Training*.
- Bititci, U., Mendibil, K., Martinez, V., Albores, P. (2005), “Measuring and Managing Performance in Extended Enterprises”, *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. 25(2).
- Bititci, U., Mendibil, K., Martinez, V., Albores, P. (2005), “Measuring and Managing Performance in Extended Enterprises”, *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*. 25(2).
- Blanchard, K., and Johnson, S. (1983), *The one-minute manager*, New York: Berkley.
- Boahen, A. (2000), *History of West Africa*, Accra: Longman.
- Buchanan, B. (1974), “Building Organizational Commitment: The Socialization of Managers in Work Organizations,” *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 22.
- Bunton, W. (2012), *Financial Management and Comptroller*, Office of the Assistant Secretary, Department of the Army. New York Publishers.
- Business (2010), “Management program, Business environment and organization culture” <http://www.bestbusinessinfo.com/11/tutorial/11104.html#a10>, 25 May 2011, 1733.
- Carol, H. (2011), *Merit Systems Protection Board*. Washington DC: McGraw-Hill.



- Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (CIPD) (2001), *Age Discrimination at Work Research Report*. London: Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development
- CIPD (2004), *Managing Conflict at Work: a Survey of the UK and Ireland*. London: Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.
- Coleman, R.J. (1995), "Management in the Fire Service" J.R. Bachtler & T. F. Brennan (Eds.), *the Fire Chief's Handbook* New Jersey: Fire Engineering.
- Coleman, R.J. (1997, December) "Chief's Clipboard: go team! But where is your team going?" *the Fire Chief's Handbook* New Jersey: Fire Engineering.
- Continental Breweries Plc (2011), "Annual Report and Statement of Accounts" www.continentalbreweiresplc.org downloaded 10th November, by 2 pm.
- Cornelius, N. (1999), *Human Resource Management: A Managerial Perspective* Cornwall: Thomson Business Press.
- Covey, S.R. (1996), "Three Roles of the leader in the new paradigm." Frances Hesselbein, Marshall Goldsmith, and Richard Beckhard (Eds.) *The Leader of the future*, New York: The Drucker Foundation.
- Cox, T. H., and Blake, S. (1991), "Managing Cultural Diversity: Implications for Organizational Competitiveness," *Academy of Management Executive*, 5.
- Department of the Army (1990), *Leadership* (Field Manual No. 22-100) Washington: Department of the Army.
- Edwards, M.M. (1995, July), "Ensign Spruance and his Captain Queeg" *Naval Institute Proceedings*, 121/7/1/1, 109.
- Ejiofor, P.N.A. (2000), *Management in Nigeria*, Onitsha: Africa FEP Publishers Limited.
- Gilbert, J. A., Stead, B.A. and Ivancevich, J.M. (1999), "Diversity Management: A New Organizational paradigm," *Journal of Business Ethics*, 21(1), Retrieved from <http://www.jstor.org/stable/25074155>.
- Goldbach, G. (1995), "Leadership for today and tomorrow" J.R. Bachtler & T.F. Brennan (Eds.), *The Fire Chief's Handbook*, New Jersey: Fire Engineering.
- Griggsby, D. (2009), *Human Resources Operations*, Environmental Protection Agency, New York: U.S.A.
- Guinness Nigeria Plc (2011), "Annual Report and Statement of Accounts" www.guinnessbreweriesannualreport.org downloaded 10th November, by 4 pm.
- Hero Breweries Plc (2011), "Annual Report and Statement of Accounts" www.bremierbreweriesannualreport.org downloaded 10th November, by 3 pm.
- Hofstede, G. (1994), *Cultures and Organization: Intercultural Cooperation and Its Importance for Survival: Software of the Mind*, London: HarperCollins.
- Holly, E, Kevin, B. L. and Lenora B.H. (2010), "Diversity Climate Impact on Employee of Colour Outcomes: Does Justice Matter?" *Career Development International*, Volume 15, Number 3.
- Hornby, A.S. (2004), *Oxford Advance Learners' Dictionary*, Oxford: Oxford University Press.



- Inegbenebor, A.U. (2006), "Education for Entrepreneurship", *Nigerian Academy of Management Journal*, Volume 1, Number 1, October, 61 – 75.
- Judith, A. (2012), "Social-cultural Workplace diversity and willingness to perform an aspect of employee morale, w.w.w.workplace diversity management on employee morale", downloaded 31st December, by 7 pm.
- Knippenberg, D.V., de Deru, C.K.W. and Horman, A.C. (2004), Workgroup diversity and group performance: An integrative model and research agenda, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Volume 89, 1008 – 1022.
- Koontz, H, O'donnel, C. and Weihrich, H (2012), *Management*, Auckland: Mc Graw- Hill.
- Koontz, H. and Weihrich, H. (2000), *Principles of Management*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Koontz, H., O'Donnel, C., and Weihrich, H. (2000), *Management*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Kundu, S.C. (2001), "Managing Cross-Cultural Diversity," *Delhi Business Review*, Volume 2, Number 2.
- Linda, H. (1996), "Managing Workforce Diversity: A Critique and Example from South Africa" *International Journal of Manpower*, Volume, 17 Number 4/5.
- Lindenberger, A. (2012), "Demographic Workplace diversity and the state of spirits aspect of employee morale," w.w.w.workplace diversity management on employee morale, downloaded 31st December, by 6 pm.
- Margaret, Y., Wendy, C., Mark, H. and Charity-Ann, H. (2009), "Diversity Training and Visible Minority Employees' Perceptions of their Work Environments" *ASAC*. Niagara Falls, Ontario.
- Meyer, J.P. and Allen, N.J. (1991), "A three-component conceptualization of organizational commitment: Some methodological Considerations," *Human Resource Management Review*, 1.
- Nigerian Breweries Plc (2011), "Annual Report and Statements of Accounts" www.nigerianbreweriesplcannualreport.org downloaded by 10th November by 5 pm.
- Nigerian Bureau of Statistics (2014), *Some Development Indicators*, Abuja: Nigerian Bureau of Statistics.
- Novak, R. (2000), Much more to morale than you might think. *Minnesota Fire Chief* (November – December), 17-19.
- Nwachukwu, C.C. (2016), *Management Theory and Practice*, Onitsha: Africana FEP Publishers Limited.
- Nwankwo, A. (2016), *Development Planning in Nigeria*, Enugu: Fourth Foundation Publishers Limited.
- Nwankwo, A. (2013), *Development Planning in Nigeria*, Enugu: Fourth Foundations Publishers.
- Ogbo, A., Kifordu, A. and Ukpere, W.(2014), "The Effect of Workforce Diversity on Organisational Performance of Selected Firms in Nigeria", <http://www.Mediterraneanjournalofsocialsciences>. Vol. (5) 10.
- Ohiorenoya, J. (2012), "The Effect of Organizational Culture and Knowledge Management on the Performance of Nigerian



- Universities” *Ph.D. Thesis*, Department of Business Administration, University of Benin, Benin City, 1-200.
- Ozbilgin, M., and Tatli, A. (2008), *Global Diversity Management: An Evidence-based Approach*, London, England: Palgrave.
- Patrick, H.A. (2010), “Organization Culture and its Impact on Diversity Openness in the Information technology Organizational Context,” *Dimensions*, Volume 1, Number 1.
- Patrick, H.A. and Kumar, V.R. (2014), “Managing Workplace Diversity, http://www.managing_workplace_diversity_issues_and_challenges.org, downloaded on 25th April 2014, by 12 pm, 1 – 12.
- People Management (2004), *Managing People* 12 February, p. 23.
- Podsakoff, P.M., and Dalton, D.R. (1987), “Research Methodology in Organizational Studies”, *Journal of Management*, Volume 13, Number 2, 419 – 441.
- Raymond, J. (2012), *Patent and Trade Mark Office*, Department of Commerce, Washington DC.
- Robinsin, G. and Dechant, K. (1997), "Building a business case for diversity", *the Academy of Management Executives*, Volume 11, Number 3, pp. 21-31.
- Sania, U., Kalpina, K. and Javel, H. (2014), “Diversity, Morale and Customer Satisfaction, The Three Musketeers”, http://www.journal_of_economics_and_research.org, download on 25th April by 4 pm, 11 – 18.
- Saxena C.R. and Bhargava, I. (2014), *Employee Moral an Indicator of Success or Failure of an Organization*, <http://www.bauddhik.org> volume 3, Number 2, May-August 2012. Pp. 16-22.
- Shaw, J.B. and Power, E.B. (1998), "The effects of diversity on small workgroup processes and performance", *Human Relations*, Volume 51, No. 10, 1307-1325.
- Sheikh, A. (2006), *Human Resource Development*, New Delhi: S. Chand and Company Limited.
- Shell (2012), *Shell in Nigeria*, Lagos: Shell Development Company of Nigeria Limited.
- Simlin, J. (2006), *Organization culture and impact of diversity openness in the IT-ITES sector* (Unpublished MBA Dissertation thesis), Christ College Institute of Management, Karnataka.
- Woman, D., Bland, A., and Chase, P., (2014), “Managing Diversity”, <http://www.c.i.p.d.co.uk>, downloaded on 25th April, by 1 pm, 1 – 53.